

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/960,418	LINCKE, SHANE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ajay M. Bhatia	2145	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** *abandoned*

(1) Ajay M. Bhatia.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Elis Gold.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 June 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner contacted applicant's representative to inquire about the status of the case since an appropriate reply had not been received for office action mailed 10/17/05. Applicant's representative informed examiner that the case was abandoned.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**JASON CARDONE**  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)